

The court finds that Hankston's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested. The court will grant Hankston's motion for extension of time. However, in light of the amount of time that Hankston's counsel will have had for the response to this relatively uncomplicated motion to dismiss, the court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline. **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's Unopposed Motion for an Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 23) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner shall have until and including **September 9, 2015**, to respond to respondents' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 22). Dated August 11, 2015. Elle C. Mahan